SOUTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY **EDWARDSVILLE**

Content Evaluation Form

Postdo	oc's Name:	Chair or designee:	Date:
Conte	ent Evaluator		
the Ch	hair's designee. The Content Evaluator	sess the Postdoc's content proficiency within the field of must be proficient in the academic discipline. Postdocs y pre-designed courses, the review process should reflec	will receive a content evaluation annually.
1.	The Content Evaluator contacts the Postdoc to request a relevant sample of syllabi and/or course materials (e.g., assignments, Power-Points, lecture notes, exams, quizzes, rubrics) from at least one course and to arrange a meeting.		
2.	. The Content Evaluator evaluates the m	naterials using the Content Evaluation Form.	
3.	. The Content Evaluator meets with the	Postdoc to discuss the evaluation.	
4.	. A copy of the signed Content Evaluation	on Form will be provided to the Postdoc at the end of the	e meeting.
5.	. An additional copy of the Content Eva	luation Form will be confidentially sent to the Chair, if	designee has conducted evaluation.
6.	. The Content Evaluator is encouraged t	o consider the following context markers:	
	 Is any course a new prep for the 	is Postdoc?	
	 Is this a new course to the prog 	ram?	
	– Is this a pilot course?		

- Is this considered an unpopular class or contains controversial content?

- What is the format of the class - hybrid, on-line, face-to-face?

- How much control does the Postdoc have over the course content?

- What is the class size?

Note: If the Postdoc teaches only pre-designed courses, please skip to question 9.

Ranking Guide: 1= Needs Improvement, 2= Sometimes demonstrates, 3= Consistently demonstrates, N/A= Not applicable, no opportunity to observe.

Areas for Evaluation of Content	Evaluator's Notes	Evaluation Ranking
1. Syllabi and course materials (e.g., assignments, power-points, lecture notes, exams, quizzes, rubrics) reflect important concepts in the discipline.		
2. Learning objectives are appropriate for the course and meet departmental and disciplinary expectations.		
3. Course content (readings, resources, lessons) targets intended objectives/standards within the program of study.		
4. Course content is appropriate for the intended audience (level of course, type of course).		
5. Course content is accurate and up-to-date.		
6. Course content enhances student broader skill development (critical thinking, writing, etc.).		
7. Course activities are aligned to course objectives.		
8. Assessments are aligned to course objectives.		
9. If using pre-designed content, did the Postdoc follow the provided materials?		

Reviewer Signature	Date
•	
Postdoc Signature	Date

Signature does not indicate that the Postdoc agrees with the information provided but it is evidence that the information has been explained to the Postdoc. A copy of this form will be provided to the Postdoc.